UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--------------------------------|------------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/648,075 | 08/26/2003 | Charles L. Euteneuer | S63.3-6399-US04 | 3370 |
| | 7590 07/30/200 TT & STEINKRAUS, | EXAMINER | | |
| SUITE 400, 6640 SHADY OAK ROAD | | | BUI, VY Q | |
| EDEN PRAIRIE, MN 55344 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 3773 | |
| | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 07/30/2008 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

| | | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
|---|---|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| Office Action Occurrence | | 10/648,075 | EUTENEUER ET AL. | | | | |
| | Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | | Vy Q. Bui | 3773 | | | | |
| Period fo | The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply | pears on the cover sheet with the c | orrespondence address | | | | |
| WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any (| ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL'CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE on time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period or to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE | N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | | |
| 1) 又 | Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>31 M</u> | larch 2008 | | | | | |
| • | This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final. | | | | | | |
| <i>'</i> — | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is | | | | | | |
| ٥,١ | closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | | |
| Dispositi | ion of Claims | , | | | | | |
| · · · | | • | | | | | |
| • | Claim(s) <u>32-52</u> is/are pending in the application. | | | | | | |
| | 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>36-38,48 and 49</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | | |
| · — | 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | | |
| · · | Claim(s) <u>32-35,39-47 and 50-52</u> is/are rejected | J. | | | | | |
| • | Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | | |
| 8) | Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o | r election requirement. | | | | | |
| Applicati | on Papers | | | | | | |
| 9) | The specification is objected to by the Examine | r. | | | | | |
| 10) | 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| | Applicant may not request that any objection to the | drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See | e 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | | | | | |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. | | | | | | | |
| Priority ι | ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | | |
| 2) Notic 3) Inform | e of References Cited (PTO-892) se of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date 9/22/2003. | 4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other: | ate | | | | |

Art Unit: 3773

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election without traverse of invention as shown in Fig. 6 in the reply filed on 11/21/2007 is acknowledged.

Claims 32-35, 39-47 and 50-52 read upon the elected species shown in Fig. 6. Claims 36-39, 48-49 do not read upon elected Fig. 6 are therefore withdrawn from further consideration.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- (e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

Claims 32-35, 40, 45-47, 50-52 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Waksman et al-5,899,882.

As to claims 32-35, 40, 45-47, 50-52, Waksman (Fig. 11, for example) discloses inner shaft 272, balloon 274 can be used with a stent (not shown) as a stent deployment balloon (col. 33, lines 18-20), rings 22 as a mounting body, in vivo moldable polymeric stent 36, stops/marker bands 270.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 39, 41-44 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Waksman et al-5,899,882.

As to claim 39, Waksman discloses substantially the claimed invention, except for a wire through rings 22. However, a guidewire in the lumen of inner shaft 272 when the device is used for a stent deployment is well known in the art. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have a guidewire extending through rings 22 as recited in the claims.

As to claims 41-44, Waksman discloses substantially the claimed invention, except for mounting body including rings 22 made of a polyethylene or an elastomeric or a silicone.

However, polyethylene, elastomeric and silicone are well known materials used in the art. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to include a layer or film of an

elastomeric material or a silicone or a polyethylene for over rings 22 as this configuration would provide a soft surface for rings 22 and would have been within level of one of ordinary skill in the art.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to the above amended claims have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Double Patenting

This application has many parent cases. When a set of allowable claims has been identified, a double patenting rejection will be applied between this case and conflicting parent cases.

Information Disclosure Statement

The information disclosure statement filed 9/22/2003 includes cited foreign and non-patent documents. All of these documents available in the electronic data base with the file have been considered.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Vy Q. Bui whose telephone number is 571-272-4692. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Tuesday and Thursday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jackie Ho can be reached on 571-272-4696. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.